

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO         |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/632,740  | 08/01/2003      | Laurent Bellaiche    | 8793-52026              | 3856                    |  |
| 44692 7   | 7590 07/03/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| WRIGHT, LINDSEY & JENNINGS LLP                                    |                 |                      | KOSLOW, CAROL M         |                         |  |
| 200 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 2300<br>LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201-3699 |                 | 1E 2300              | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   | •               |                      | 1755                    | -                       |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006 | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/632,740   | BELLAICHE ET AL. |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner   | Art Unit         |  |  |  |
|  |   | C. Melissa Koslow  | 1755             |  |  |  |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply   |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 1)🛛  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 May 2006</u> .  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i   |  |                  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |  |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>  |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |                  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/1/06. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: |                  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740

Art Unit: 1755

This action is in response to applicants' amendment of 1 May 2006. Applicants' arguments with respect to the value of v with respect to the claimed temperature range of above 50 K to below the Curie temperature of the disordered lead scandium niobium oxide perovskite were persuasive. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine the properties of the material havingthe claimed formula at any temperature between 0K up to 373 K simply be selected a value of v. Therefore applicants have rebutted their arguments of 16 December 2005. Applicant's arguments with respect to the remaining rejection have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim 12 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply of 5 August 2005.

This application contains claim 12 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection should include cancelation of nonelected claims (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no teaching in the originally filed disclosure as to how to produce a lead scandium niobium oxide perovskite having the claimed atomic structure. The statement that this material could be produced by MBE or pulse-laser deposition does not provide sufficient information as to the conditions necessary to produce an alloy having the claimed atomic

Art Unit: 1755

structure. It is known in the art that the processing conditions must be controlled otherwise the claimed atomic structure will not form. Simply forming films of lead scandium niobium oxide perovskite by MBE or pulse-laser deposition would form the discussed disordered niobate, not the claimed atomic structure. There is no disclosure as to these necessary process conditions. Accordingly, the claimed material was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 5 May 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 13 as set forth in the last Office action because the provided articles produce materials having a different atomic structure than the claimed atomic structure. The provided articles produce materials having multilayered films where each film has a different distinct composition. Two of the articles teach materials have alternating barium titanate and strontium titanate films or layers and the third article teaches a material having alternating potassium niobate and potassium tantalate layers. The claimed material has a supercell of four lead scandium niobate layers where the ratio of scandium to niobium in the second and fourth layers is 0.5, the ratio of scandium to niobium in the first layer is 0.5+v/0.5-v and the ratio of scandium to niobium in the third layer is 0.5-v/0.5+v, where 0<v<0.5. None of the provided articles teach producing layers comprising both barium and strontium or both niobium and tantalum, i.e. solid solutions. In fact, the articles teach away from forming solid solution layers, such as those claimed, due to the disorder formed in such layers. Thus the articles do not show that one of ordinary skill in the art would have known at the time of invention how to produce a material having the claimed atomic structure. The rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk June 27, 2006 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700